

Section Handout: Python

Problem 1: Jane Austen's Favorite Word

Project Gutenberg is an open-source effort intended to legally distribute electronic copies of thousands of books no longer under copyright. So, if you're tired of coding in spite of the strong Internet connection, you might download yourself a little Franz Kafka by visiting:

`http://www.gutenberg.org/files/5200/5200.txt`

and e-flip through *Metamorphosis* to pass the time.

A quick scan of **`www.gutenberg.org`** reveals that virtually all of Jane Austen's novels—*Emma*, *Lady Susan*, *Love and Friendship*, *Northanger Abbey*, *Persuasion*, *Price and Prejudice*, and *Sense and Sensibility*—are available in plain text format. Naturally, this prompts you to wonder what everyone else is wondering: What's Jane Austen's favorite word?

Given an array of URLs, write a Python function **`getFavoriteWord`** that reads in all of the plain text documents addressed by the URLs, compiles a huge frequency map of all words she uses anywhere in any of the texts, and returns the most commonly occurring word. Only count a word if it's all lowercase letters, and assume the favorite word is the one with the highest score, where the score of a word is equal to freq^{len} , where **`freq`** is the word's frequency and **`len`** is the word's length. For simplicity, assume all punctuation marks are separated from real words by whitespace.

See the last page of the section handout for a list of all dictionary methods. (You'll want to focus on the **`get`** and **`operator[]`** methods.)

```
#  
# Pulls all of the contents from each of the URLs in the 'urls' list,  
# and returns what appears to be the favorite word, where the  
# metric for what favorite means is outlined above  
#  
def getFavoriteWord(urls):
```

Problem 2: Maximizing Points

You're given an unlimited number of pebbles to distribute across an $N \times N$ game board (N drawn from $[3, 15]$), where each square on the board contains some positive point value between 10 and 99, inclusive. A 6×6 board might look like this:

33	74	26	55	79	54
67	56	91	72	44	32
44	64	22	91	29	61
12	32	76	50	50	32
81	65	56	38	96	36
38	78	50	92	90	75

The player distributes pebbles across the board so that:

- At most one pebble resides in any given square.
- No two pebbles are placed on adjacent squares. Two squares are considered adjacent if they are horizontal, vertical, or even diagonal neighbors. There's no board wrap, so 44 and 61 aren't neighbors. Neither are 33 and 75.

The goal is to maximize the number of points claimed by your placement of pebbles.

Write a program that reads in a sequence of boards from standard input and posts the maximum number of points attainable by an optimal pebble placement for each. Each board is expressed as a series of lines, where each line is a space-delimited series of numbers. A blank line marks the end of each board (including the last one.)

The better solution to this problem uses memoization to reduce the running time of the solution from something very exponential in running time to something noticeably less exponential (though still exponential.) Don't worry too much about the file reading portion of the solution—focus instead on the ideal distribution of pebbles and the Python code that helps to discover it. (See the last page of this handout for a list of all dictionary and mutable sequence methods.)

So, if the following were fed to standard input:

```
-----
71 24 95 56 54
85 50 74 94 28
92 96 23 71 10
23 61 31 30 46
64 33 32 95 89

78 78 11 55 20 11
98 54 81 43 39 97
12 15 79 99 58 10
13 79 83 65 34 17
85 59 61 12 58 97
40 63 97 85 66 90

33 49 78 79 30 16 34 88 54 39 26
80 21 32 71 89 63 39 52 90 14 89
49 66 33 19 45 61 31 29 84 98 58
36 53 35 33 88 90 19 23 76 23 76
77 27 25 42 70 36 35 91 17 79 43
33 85 33 59 47 46 63 75 98 96 55
75 88 10 57 85 71 34 10 59 84 45
29 34 43 46 75 28 47 63 48 16 19
62 57 91 85 89 70 80 30 19 38 14
61 35 36 20 38 18 89 64 63 88 83
45 46 89 53 83 59 48 45 87 98 21

15 95 24 35 79 35 55 66 91 95 86 87
94 15 84 42 88 83 64 50 22 99 13 32
85 12 43 39 41 23 35 97 54 98 18 85
84 61 77 96 49 38 75 95 16 71 22 14
18 72 97 94 43 18 59 78 33 80 68 59
26 94 78 87 78 92 59 83 26 88 91 91
34 84 53 98 83 49 60 11 55 17 51 75
29 80 14 79 15 18 94 39 69 24 93 41
66 64 88 82 21 56 16 41 57 74 51 79
49 15 59 21 37 27 78 41 38 82 19 62
54 91 47 29 38 67 52 92 81 99 11 27
31 62 32 97 42 93 43 79 88 44 54 48
-----
```

then your program would print the maximum number of points one can get by optimally distributing pebbles while respecting the two rules, which would be this:

```
-----
572
683
2096
2755
-----
```

Python mutable sequence API

Operation	Result
<code>s[i] = x</code>	item <i>i</i> of <i>s</i> is replaced by <i>x</i>
<code>s[i:j] = t</code>	slice of <i>s</i> from <i>i</i> to <i>j</i> is replaced by the contents of the iterable <i>t</i>
<code>del s[i:j]</code>	same as <code>s[i:j] = []</code>
<code>s[i:j:k] = t</code>	the elements of <code>s[i:j:k]</code> are replaced by those of <i>t</i>
<code>del s[i:j:k]</code>	removes the elements of <code>s[i:j:k]</code> from the list
<code>s.append(x)</code>	same as <code>s[len(s):len(s)] = [x]</code>
<code>s.extend(x)</code>	same as <code>s[len(s):len(s)] = x</code>
<code>s.count(x)</code>	return number of <i>i</i> 's for which <code>s[i] == x</code>
<code>s.index(x[, i[, j]])</code>	return smallest <i>k</i> such that <code>s[k] == x</code> and <code>i <= k < j</code>
<code>s.insert(i, x)</code>	same as <code>s[i:i] = [x]</code>
<code>s.pop([i])</code>	same as <code>x = s[i]; del s[i]; return x</code>
<code>s.remove(x)</code>	same as <code>del s[s.index(x)]</code>
<code>s.reverse()</code>	reverses the items of <i>s</i> in place
<code>s.sort([cmp[, key[, reverse]])</code>	sort the items of <i>s</i> in place

Python dictionary API

Operation	Result
<code>len(a)</code>	the number of items in <i>a</i>
<code>a[k]</code>	the item of <i>a</i> with key <i>k</i>
<code>a[k] = v</code>	set <code>a[k]</code> to <i>v</i>
<code>del a[k]</code>	remove <code>a[k]</code> from <i>a</i>
<code>a.clear()</code>	remove all items from <i>a</i>
<code>a.copy()</code>	a (shallow) copy of <i>a</i>
<code>k in a</code>	True if <i>a</i> has a key <i>k</i> , else False
<code>k not in a</code>	Equivalent to <code>not k in a</code>
<code>a.has_key(k)</code>	Equivalent to <code>k in a</code> , use that form in new code
<code>a.items()</code>	a copy of <i>a</i> 's list of (<i>key</i> , <i>value</i>) pairs
<code>a.keys()</code>	a copy of <i>a</i> 's list of keys
<code>a.update([b])</code>	updates (and overwrites) key/value pairs from <i>b</i>
<code>a.fromkeys(seq[, value])</code>	Creates a new dictionary with keys from <i>seq</i> and values set to <i>value</i>
<code>a.values()</code>	a copy of <i>a</i> 's list of values
<code>a.get(k[, x])</code>	<code>a[k]</code> if <i>k</i> in <i>a</i> , else <i>x</i>
<code>a.setdefault(k[, x])</code>	<code>a[k]</code> if <i>k</i> in <i>a</i> , else <i>x</i> (also setting it)
<code>a.pop(k[, x])</code>	<code>a[k]</code> if <i>k</i> in <i>a</i> , else <i>x</i> (and remove <i>k</i>)
<code>a.popitem()</code>	remove and return an arbitrary (<i>key</i> , <i>value</i>) pair
<code>a.iteritems()</code>	return an iterator over (<i>key</i> , <i>value</i>) pairs
<code>a.iterkeys()</code>	return an iterator over the mapping's keys
<code>a.itervalues()</code>	return an iterator over the mapping's values

The above tables were lifted from <http://docs.python.org/lib/typesseq-mutable.html> and <http://docs.python.org/lib/typesmapping.html>.